IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 24494 of 1996

Between:

B.Satyam S/o.B.Lachaiah
R/o.Rehmath Nagar, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

(1) All India Council for Tech. Education
New Delhi.
Dismissed for Default as per court order Dt.14/2/2003
(2) All India Council for Tech. Education
Madras.
Dismissed for Default as per court order Dt.14/2/2003
3 The Govt. of A.P. rep.by Prl. Secretary, Higher Education
Hyderabad.
4 The Convenor EAMCET - 1996-97
Commissioner Tech. Edn. Hyderabad.
5 Gayatri Vidya Parishad
Visakhapatnam, Madhurawada.
6 M/s Siddhartha Academy of General and Tech.Education
Vijayawada.
(7) M/s Nimra Educational Society
Hyderabad.
Dismissed for Default as per court order Dt.14/2/2003
(8) M/s Bonam Venkata Chalamayya Educational Society
E.G.Dist. Dismissed for default asper Court Order dt: 14-2-03.
(9) M/s Vodithalu Ednl. Society Hyd
Hyderabad. (Proposed to be run at Karimnagar)
Dismissed for Default as per court order Dt.14/2/2003
10 M/s Shaaz Ednl. Society
Hyderabad.

(11) M/s Swamy Ramananda Thirtha Ednl. Society
Hyderabad. (Proposed to be run at Nalgonda Dist)
Dismissed for Default as per court order Dt.14/2/2003
(12) M/s Sri Sai Vidyanikethan Ednl. Society
Chittoor.
Dismissed for Default as per court order Dt.14/2/2003

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the impugned action of the respondents 1 to 4 herein in according permission in favour of the respondents 5 to 12 herein for starting of the Engineering Colleges for the academic year 1996-97 and allotting the students by Res.No.4 herein as per the ranking of EAMCET - 1996-97 (Engg) to the colleges of 5 to 12 herein, as illegal, void ab-initio as they attract articles 14,15,16 and 21 of the Constitution of India and may be further directed to set aside all the permissions accorded by the Res.No.1 in favour of Res. 5 to 12 herein.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.S.TULASI DAS

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: The G.P. for Higher Education

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: MR.T.S.HARNATH

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.5,6 and 10: None appeared.

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the cause in the writ petition has become infructuous.

Recording the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

N.V. RAMANA, J

Dated: 18th November, 2004

LSK/ASP

To

1 The All India Council for Tech. Education
New Delhi.
2 The All India Council for Tech. Education
Madras.
3 The Secretary

Govt. of A.P. Hyderabad.
4 The Convenor,

EAMCET - 1996-97 Commissioner of Tech. Edn. Hyderabad.
5 The Gayatri Vidya Parishad
Visakhapatnam, Madhurawada.
6 The M/s Siddhartha Academy of General and Tech.Education
Vijayawada.

7 Two C.C. to the Government Pleader for Education, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad. (OUT)
8 Two C.D. copies.